



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,895	02/13/2006	Jurgen Damm	18064	6365

25542 7590 08/08/2008

CNH AMERICA LLC  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT  
PO BOX 1895, M.S. 641  
NEW HOLLAND, PA 17557

EXAMINER
----------

MITCHELL, JOEL F

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3671

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

08/08/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/539,895

Applicant(s)

DAMM ET AL.

Examiner

Joel F. Mitchell

Art Unit

3671

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period **will** apply and **will** expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply **will**, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 July 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

**DETAILED ACTION*****Double Patenting***

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

2. Claims 6 and 7 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 3 and 4. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. **Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wykhuis et al (US 4,580,811).**

Art Unit: 3671

5. With respect to claim 1, Wykhuis discloses a vehicle (10) having a rear frame including left (14) and right (12) longitudinal beams, each having a rear end, and providing for the components or subassemblies of a rear counterweight, lights and a bumper, the improvement comprising:

the bumper and the rear counterweight are comprised of a generally flat elongate rear end plate (30) having opposing outer end regions and extending across the entire width of the grader (Fig. 1);

the rear end plate exhibits a greater wall thickness in use without any rear-mounted equipment (addition of weights 52 and removal of spacers 48 leading to a greater wall thickness);

the rear end plate viewed in the direction of travel of the grader constitutes the rearmost part of the rear frame (seen in Fig. 2); and

tail lights are built into openings (col. 1, lines 65-68) in the rear end plate positioned in the outer end regions (Fig. 1).

6. Wykhuis does not explicitly disclose a road grader. Wykhuis discloses a rear counterweight assembly for a vehicle. This vehicle frame appears similar to that of road graders and is capable of being a road grader. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the assembly of Wykhuis on a road grader. The motivation being "to provide a counterweight assembly which does not hinder the function of the vehicle nor disturb the styling lines thereof." (col. 1, lines 26-28)

7. Wykhuis does not explicitly disclose backup/brake and flasher lights. Wykhuis discloses the assembly housing "a pair of taillights having lenses 32."

Art Unit: 3671

(col. 1, line 66) The Examiner takes official notice that backup/brake and flasher lights being built into a bumper assembly is well known in the vehicle art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the assembly of Wykhuis with backup/brake and flasher lights. The motivation being to signal braking and turning.

8. With respect to claim 2, Wykhuis discloses the assembly wherein the rear end plate (30) is formed as one piece (shown as one piece in Fig. 1).

9. With respect to claims 3, 5, and 6, Wykhuis discloses the assembly wherein the rear ends of the left longitudinal beam (14) and the right longitudinal beam (12) are joined to a rear transverse beam (16).

10. With respect to claims 4 and 7, Wykhuis discloses the assembly wherein the rear end plate (30) is removably attached (via 38, 42, 46, 50; shown exploded and detached in Fig. 1) to the rear transverse beam (16).

**11. Claims 8-10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luttrell (US 3,853,231).**

12. With respect to claim 8, Luttrell discloses a road grader (10) comprising:

Art Unit: 3671

a wheel-supported main frame (16) having a front end and an opposing rear end (18) as determined by the normal direction of travel, the main frame comprised of joined front and rear frames (shown as articulated in Fig. 1);

an engine (14) and a driver's cab (seen in Fig. 1) supported on said main frame;

a vertically adjustable ground-engaging blade (at 12) supported by said main frame;

the rear frame (18) having left (20) and right (22) longitudinal beams generally parallel and in the general same horizontal plane (seen in Fig. 2), each with a rear end;

a generally flat elongate rear end plate (48) jointed (via 24) to the rear end of each of the right and left longitudinal beams;

the rear end plate constituting the rearmost part of the rear frame (seen in Fig. 1) and extending generally the width of the road grader (seen in Fig. 2); and

the rear end plate having openings (apertures of col. 3, lines 59-61) therethrough to accommodate the attachment of rear-mounted equipment.

13. With respect to claim 9, Luttrell discloses the road grader wherein the rear end plate (48) is formed as one piece (shown as one piece in Fig. 2).

14. With respect to claim 10, Luttrell discloses the road grader wherein the rear ends of the left longitudinal beam (20) and the right longitudinal beam (22) are joined to a rear transverse beam (24).

15. With respect to claim 13, Luttrell discloses the road grader wherein the rear end plate (48) exhibits a small wall thickness in use with a rear-mounted piece of equipment (seen as having a small wall thickness relative to the road grader, while having an attachment point for a rear-mounted piece of equipment, in Fig. 2).

**16. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luttrell as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Wykhuis.**

17. Luttrell discloses the tool with regard to claim 8. Luttrell does not disclose openings for lights in the rear plate. Wykhuis discloses a rear counterweight assembly wherein the rear end plate has opposing outer end portions and openings through the outer end portions and taillights are fitted therein (col. 1, lines 65-68).

18. Wykhuis does not explicitly disclose backup/brake and flasher lights. Wykhuis discloses the assembly housing "a pair of taillights having lenses 32." (col. 1, line 66) The Examiner takes official notice that backup/brake and flasher lights being built into a bumper assembly is well known in the vehicle art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the assembly of Wykhuis with backup/brake and flasher lights. The motivation being to signal braking and turning.

Art Unit: 3671

19. Luttrell and Wykhuis are analogous because they both disclose rear counterweight assemblies for vehicles. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the road grader of Luttrell with the rear lighting capabilities as taught by Wykhuis. The motivation being to signal braking and turning, as well as to provide a convenient location for electrical connections to rear-mounted equipment

**20. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luttrell in view of Wykhuis as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Latterman (US 3,490,787).**

21. Luttrell and Wykhuis disclose the road grader with regard to claims 8 and 11. They do not explicitly disclose the rear end plate being made of steel. Latterman discloses a tractor bumper and counterweight assembly wherein the end plate (7, as well as associated members 2 and 11) are made of heavy steel (col. 2, lines 18-24).

22. Luttrell, Wykhuis, and Latterman are analogous because they all disclose counterweight assemblies for vehicles. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the road grader disclosed by Luttrell and Wykhuis with a steel rear end plate as taught by Latterman. The motivation being to have the plate withstand normal wear as well as wear encountered in using the plate as a bumper for pushing other vehicles or implements (Latterman, col. 2, lines 18-22).



***Response to Arguments***

23. Applicant's arguments filed 7/16/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

24. Regarding the rejections in view of Wykhuis, the statement "the rear end plate exhibits a small wall thickness in use with a rear-mounted piece of equipment of a high weight or the rear end plate exhibits a greater wall thickness in use with a rear-mounted piece of equipment of a low weight or without any rear-mounted equipment, such that an axle load distribution of the grader is generally maintained"

is viewed as being disclosed by Wykhuis due to the fact that it satisfies one of the three conditions provided set forth. Wykhuis does not explicitly disclose that a towed implement can be attached to the rear of the vehicle, but this is not claimed. With regard to claim 2, the endplate is viewed as forming a single structure when weights are added prior to fastening it to the vehicle.

25. Regarding the rejections in view of Luttrell, Luttrell is recessed (52) for permitting the travel of a trailed implement. Luttrell does not disclose or suggest mounting a thinner rear plate based on the weight of a towed rear implement, but this is not claimed. With regard to claim 9, the rear end plate (48) is one piece, and the existence of a possible second counterweight is irrelevant.

***Conclusion***

26. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

27. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joel F. Mitchell whose telephone number is (571)272-7689. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on (571) 272-6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3671

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thomas B Will/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner  
Art Unit 3671

JFM  
7/31/8